0:0:0.0 --> 0:0:1.330 Alexandria Cedergren Alright, good afternoon everyone.

0:0:3.430 --> 0:0:8.300 Alexandria Cedergren Thank you again for joining this advisory committee meeting today to discuss energy sovereignty.

0:0:8.740 --> 0:0:11.70 Alexandria Cedergren And its inclusion in Illinois solar for all.

0:0:13.290 --> 0:0:13.560 Alexandria Cedergren I'm.

0:0:13.570 --> 0:0:27.620 Alexandria Cedergren So we'll go through our typical meeting goals and guidelines at the beginning for about an advisory committee and we'll discuss the background of energy sovereignty within IIsa, and then some discussion questions after that.

0:0:27.930 --> 0:0:29.10 Alexandria Cedergren First, I would like to.

0:0:31.90 --> 0:0:35.890 Alexandria Cedergren Just welcome Elizabeth Hitman and Mark Benson from the Elswit team.

0:0:35.900 --> 0:0:45.410 Alexandria Cedergren Who are here to help facilitate this conversation around energy sovereignty, since they are the ones that really work with this more on the program side.

0:0:45.420 --> 0:0:46.570 Alexandria Cedergren So in the project side.

0:0:46.580 --> 0:0:56.980 Alexandria Cedergren So thank you both for joining and then we also have Jennifer Schmidt and Abigail Ramirez here to help with the IPA side of energy sovereignty.

0:0:58.440 --> 0:1:0.940 Alexandria Cedergren Umm to give us that background of?

0:1:1.700 --> 0:1:3.280 Alexandria Cedergren Umm this in the program.

0:1:7.220 --> 0:1:7.770 Alexandria Cedergren All right.

0:1:7.780 --> 0:1:21.190 Alexandria Cedergren So just a reminder for what we are here to do as the advisory committee would like to increase program participation by providing relevant expertise to inform ongoing program development and improvements.

0:1:21.200 --> 0:1:29.990 Alexandria Cedergren So you all are here because you have very unique experiences and different perspectives that can help us continue and to improve our programming.

0:1:33.750 --> 0:1:34.690 Alexandria Cedergren Alright.

0:1:35.480 --> 0:1:39.730 Alexandria Cedergren One housekeeping note, if you are, please stay muted.

0:1:39.740 --> 0:1:54.570 Alexandria Cedergren If you do not have a question so that we can just reduce background noise during the presentation and then if you do have a question, you can either put it in the chat or raise your hand and we can make sure that we get to you to answer your question or just have you provide your feedback.

0:1:55.750 --> 0:1:56.150 Alexandria Cedergren All right.

0:1:56.160 --> 0:2:4.930 Alexandria Cedergren So we'll get started with the, oh, use me this I I some more of the guidelines.

0:2:4.940 --> 0:2:5.860 Alexandria Cedergren That's my mistake.

0:2:5.870 --> 0:2:8.70 Alexandria Cedergren So I just mentioned the raised hand function.

0:2:9.30 --> 0:2:9.530 Alexandria Cedergren Umm.

0:2:11.60 --> 0:2:16.90 Alexandria Cedergren And then a note from the IPA here that is different from our other advisory committee meetings.

0:2:16.540 --> 0:2:19.740 Alexandria Cedergren They cannot discuss the currently filed 2024 long term plan.

0:2:22.610 --> 0:2:29.100 Alexandria Cedergren And the discussion is just current to the most recent 2024 long term plan and approved vendor manual.

0:2:29.110 --> 0:2:31.950 Alexandria Cedergren So we are discussing anything that has been approved up to date.

0:2:34.0 --> 0:2:34.360 Alexandria Cedergren Alright.

0:2:38.390 --> 0:2:38.910 Alexandria Cedergren OK.

0:2:38.920 --> 0:2:46.290 Alexandria Cedergren And now with that, Jen and Abby, I'll hand it over to you both to give a little bit of the background and let me know when you need me to switch the slides.

0:2:47.580 --> 0:2:49.10 Schmidt, Jennifer M. Sure thinks that Ellie.

0:2:49.740 --> 0:2:51.10 Schmidt, Jennifer M. My name is Jennifer Schmidt.

0:2:51.20 --> 0:3:5.710 Schmidt, Jennifer M. I'm the Illinois solar parole senior program manager with the IPA, so I know these slides are dense, but we included the language that was from the statute, so we thought that that would be helpful.

0:3:6.610 --> 0:3:7.100 Schmidt, Jennifer M. Umm.

0:3:7.900 --> 0:3:21.900

Schmidt, Jennifer M.

So for energy sovereignty in its involvement with Illinois solar Paralic came about through CEJA and that required the IPA to reserve a portion of solar for all funding.

0:3:22.120 --> 0:3:40.530

Schmidt, Jennifer M.

For projects that promote energy sovereignty through ownership of projects by low income households, not for profit organizations providing services to help to low income households, affordable housing owners, community cooperatives, or community based limited liability companies providing services to low income households.

0:3:41.10 --> 0:3:50.410

Schmidt, Jennifer M.

So it's saying that it's wanting us wanting the IPA to reserve funding for projects that are promoting ownership for these.

0:3:51.170 --> 0:4:16.80

Schmidt, Jennifer M.

Households and different kinds of organizations that we are also prioritizing through Illinois solar for all the CEJA did not define energy sovereignty, but it has it refers to the ownership of projects and also that local people have control of the project and read benefits from the project over and above energy Bill Savings.

0:4:16.510 --> 0:4:26.260

Schmidt, Jennifer M.

Again, they don't really go into detail about what that could be in the White Paper that we shared as Appendix G in the 2022 long term plan.

0:4:27.80 --> 0:4:39.250

Schmidt, Jennifer M.

Umm, we do go over some possibilities of how what these models might look like, and we also talk about, you know how what local control might look like.

0:4:39.340 --> 0:5:5.50

Schmidt, Jennifer M.

And then when we dig into what the benefits over and above energy Bill Savings might look like and you know we can think of these possibly at the individual level where that might be look like self reliance as far as economic self reliance or maybe energy reliability, self reliance, it can help with income or wealth building.

0:5:5.460 --> 0:5:18.520

Schmidt, Jennifer M.

And then at a Community level, are there benefits like job opportunities and property tax income that are coming to the community through these projects that are prioritizing ownership next slide?

0:5:22.540 --> 0:5:56.580 Schmidt, Jennifer M.

So where we came from with from that we developed a definition of energy sovereignty for us to use within Illinois solar parole and that is we define that as eligible low income household or community organizations having or being on a defined path to majority or full ownership of the photovoltaic generating facility or solar system or in the case of a cooperative or Community ownership model, a share or membership in the entity that owns the system.

0:5:58.250 --> 0:6:2.490 Schmidt, Jennifer M. And then for this definition we have a definition of ownership.

0:6:2.610 --> 0:6:15.500 Schmidt, Jennifer M. That's not only the legal title, but also the right to participate in the decision making that goes into the admin, the governance, maintenance and all the functioning of that system.

0:6:16.520 --> 0:6:22.810 Schmidt, Jennifer M. And then we also define the photovoltaic generating facility essentially as the system.

0:6:23.600 --> 0:6:25.860 Schmidt, Jennifer M. There is a clarification that.

0:6:28.30 --> 0:6:38.900 Schmidt, Jennifer M. If there is storage involved, uh, that that was not included in the ownership or I might have gotten that one.

0:6:38.910 --> 0:6:41.210 Schmidt, Jennifer M. But umm, next slide.

0:6:45.80 --> 0:6:58.640 Schmidt, Jennifer M. So I I think elevate in the next section will be getting into the impacts of you know what we've seen as far as projects that that are energy sovereignty projects.

0:6:58.650 --> 0:7:8.140 Schmidt, Jennifer M. So far in Illinois, solar for all, so we can tackle questions now if we need to, or wait until the end.

0:7:8.10 --> 0:7:8.400 Alexandria Cedergren Yeah.

0:7:8.670 --> 0:7:20.0 Alexandria Cedergren If anyone has very specific questions to the background and how we got here, I think this is the time for

that, but anything that's more processed related program project related than we will get into that in the next section.

0:7:25.880 --> 0:7:27.870 Alexandria Cedergren Alright, thank you Jen.

0:7:27.950 --> 0:7:28.550 Alexandria Cedergren Ohh Kim.

0:7:31.780 --> 0:7:34.90 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" I feel bad asking this question because I was late.

0:7:33.720 --> 0:7:34.310 Brackett, Lisa 213.

0:7:34.240 --> 0:7:40.980 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" Sorry, my computer has a real problem with teams and I have to start earlier and I've always forget anyway.

0:7:41.310 --> 0:7:42.360 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" Did you state what what?

0:7:42.370 --> 0:7:44.900 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" What we're seeking and what you're seeking advice on today.

0:7:46.10 --> 0:7:46.750 Alexandria Cedergren So we will have.

0:7:46.290 --> 0:7:48.100 Schmidt, Jennifer M. There is a slight ohh sorry.

0:7:48.110 --> 0:7:48.570 Schmidt, Jennifer M. Go ahead Allie.

0:7:49.390 --> 0:7:50.160 Brackett, Lisa That no.

0:7:48.700 --> 0:7:50.310 Alexandria Cedergren No, it's OK, Jen.

0:7:50.360 --> 0:7:54.50 Alexandria Cedergren I'm so we do have some discussion questions at the end of this meeting.

0:7:54.60 --> 0:8:5.330 Alexandria Cedergren We really wanted to start out with a little bit more of just kind of the background to how we got here so that everyone can have the same context and then we have some more deep dive questions to discuss different aspects of the program.

0:8:6.440 --> 0:8:7.60 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" Great. Thanks.

0:8:7.860 --> 0:8:8.300 Alexandria Cedergren Of course.

0:8:9.480 --> 0:8:10.690 Alexandria Cedergren Thank you for the question, Kim.

0:8:10.700 --> 0:8:11.470 Alexandria Cedergren No worries.

0:8:12.20 --> 0:8:14.250 Alexandria Cedergren I know that teams can be a fickle thing sometimes.

0:8:14.260 --> 0:8:22.670 Alexandria Cedergren So alright, with that we will move on to our background of energy sovereignty and how that works within ILSFA programming.

0:8:25.600 --> 0:8:27.770 Alexandria Cedergren This will be isolated by Elizabeth Hittman.

0:8:27.780 --> 0:8:28.340 Alexandria Cedergren Sorry, go ahead.

0:8:28.990 --> 0:8:50.230 Elizabeth Hittman

Hey everyone and Mark, Mark Benson is on as well and he is the vendor manager and our team who has the most experience with energy sovereignty this past program year program year five was the first year that approved vendors were able to submit projects and you know mark them as energy sovereignty.

0:8:50.240 --> 0:8:52.0 Elizabeth Hittman So we definitely learned a lot.

0:8:52.180 --> 0:8:52.730 Elizabeth Hittman Umm.

0:8:53.170 --> 0:8:59.290 Elizabeth Hittman And think it's such an amazing aspect of the program and you're excited to see people taking advantage of it?

0:9:2.280 --> 0:9:2.690 Brackett, Lisa Nice.

0:9:0.70 --> 0:9:4.860 Elizabeth Hittman Umm, so Jen kind of mentioned this or Allie kind of mentioned this already.

0:9:4.870 --> 0:9:10.740 Elizabeth Hittman The goals of energy sovereignty is to promote ownership and local community wealth.

0:9:10.750 --> 0:9:16.970 Elizabeth Hittman Building Illinois solar for all reserves 25% of program incentives.

0:9:21.880 --> 0:9:22.160 Brackett, Lisa Benson.

0:9:24.430 --> 0:9:26.240 Brackett, Lisa You're requesting video from anyone you know.

0:9:16.980 --> 0:9:35.910

Elizabeth Hittman

So for each sub program, 25% of the incentives are carved out for energy sovereignty projects and this could in most cases for small smaller large residential or for nonprofit and public facilities which, umm, be expedited participant system ownership.

0:9:37.80 --> 0:9:38.820 Brackett, Lisa It E.

0:9:42.270 --> 0:9:42.420 Brackett, Lisa That's.

0:9:43.760 --> 0:9:45.630 Brackett, Lisa Content that's flags.

0:9:47.960 --> 0:9:48.420 Brackett, Lisa That's here.

0:9:54.790 --> 0:9:55.340 Brackett, Lisa Your house.

0:9:48.470 --> 0:9:57.10 Elizabeth Hittman There are, but also there's information about community solar cooperative models and a question that's popped up in the past.

0:9:57.20 --> 0:9:58.350 Elizabeth Hittman And so I tried to clarify this.

0:9:58.730 --> 0:10:0.100 Elizabeth Hittman Umm is that?

0:10:0.110 --> 0:10:2.320 Elizabeth Hittman It's not like a coop utility.

0:10:4.740 --> 0:10:6.170 Brackett, Lisa That everything.

0:10:8.830 --> 0:10:9.200 Brackett, Lisa Lights.

0:10:2.330 --> 0:10:10.440 Elizabeth Hittman It's more like a Coop grocery store, so members are also the owners and make decisions about the governance.

0:10:10.810 --> 0:10:14.430 Elizabeth Hittman But that kind of contributes to the Community wealth building, which is great.

0:10:13.520 --> 0:10:15.510 Brackett, Lisa Sent it to me. Email.

0:10:17.150 --> 0:10:17.890 Brackett, Lisa Thanks, Kevin.

0:10:17.900 --> 0:10:18.520 Brackett, Lisa Awareness.

0:10:19.490 --> 0:10:20.540 Brackett, Lisa Thanks for savings.

0:10:17.220 --> 0:10:23.310 Elizabeth Hittman Uh, so for residential solar and nonprofit and public facility projects?

0:10:23.580 --> 0:10:24.70 Brackett, Lisa Everything you.

0:10:29.520 --> 0:10:29.880 Brackett, Lisa Run.

0:10:24.120 --> 0:10:33.90 Elizabeth Hittman The projects within these sub programs can achieve energy sovereignty designation through a lease or power purchase agreement with an early buyout.

0:10:33.100 --> 0:10:36.490 Elizabeth Hittman So the buyout has to take place at seven years or earlier.

0:10:37.890 --> 0:10:49.240 Elizabeth Hittman And so it can take place on day one or could take take place right before year seven and the rec incentive will reflect when that transfer of ownership takes place.

0:10:49.250 --> 0:11:0.990 Elizabeth Hittman

So the property owner, umm, the projects will receive for approved vendors at \$10 per rec adder and that is applied to the project's rec contract.

0:11:1.40 --> 0:11:10.410

Elizabeth Hittman

And just a reminder that Rex are delivered after it's applied to the rec contract for Recs that are delivered after the transfer of ownership.

0:11:10.420 --> 0:11:27.250

Elizabeth Hittman

So if a project submits an entered or if an approved vendor submits an energy sovereignty project and the transfer of ownership takes place at year five, that \$10 per rec adder would take place when that ownership transfer occurs and not from the very beginning.

0:11:27.710 --> 0:11:33.170

Elizabeth Hittman And so there's some complex calculations that go on on the back end to figure that out.

0:11:33.980 --> 0:11:40.400 Elizabeth Hittman How much additional rec incentives the approved under will get based on when that transfer takes place?

0:11:41.100 --> 0:11:57.430

Elizabeth Hittman

Umm, so other things to take into consideration for residential small and large and nonprofit public facilities projects, they must include information on the cost and the timing of the transfer of ownership and any ongoing costs.

0:11:57.440 --> 0:12:3.720

Elizabeth Hittman

That would be a part from the operations and maintenance which the approved vendor is responsible for.

0:12:4.440 --> 0:12:5.20 Elizabeth Hittman Umm.

0:12:5.280 --> 0:12:12.320 Elizabeth Hittman And also for the new owner within their third party owner or power purchase agreement contract.

0:12:12.330 --> 0:12:15.280 Elizabeth Hittman So all of this needs to be laid out in the customer contract.

0:12:15.290 --> 0:12:21.830 Elizabeth Hittman So the customer is aware of the terms and I think this is listed on a future slide as well.

0:12:21.840 --> 0:12:35.560

Elizabeth Hittman

But since the Rec contract is 15 years, even if the ownership transfer takes place at year 2, the approved vendor is still responsible for operations and maintenance and rec delivery for the term of the REC contract, which is 15 years.

0:12:35.930 --> 0:12:43.790 Elizabeth Hittman So it's kind of nice, you know, the the AV will, you know, do the O&M for 15 years even if ownership takes place right away.

0:12:40.370 --> 0:12:46.120 Brackett, Lisa About as secure modification system that they claim is impeccable for two weeks to crack.

0:12:46.130 --> 0:12:49.540 Brackett, Lisa It looks like they're just combining a bunch of existing tag to one another.

0:12:48.540 --> 0:12:51.460 Elizabeth Hittman And next slide. Umm.

0:12:52.180 --> 0:12:53.440 Elizabeth Hittman So.

0:12:53.300 --> 0:12:55.270 Alexandria Cedergren Elizabeth, we do have a question from John.

0:12:56.730 --> 0:12:57.140 Elizabeth Hittman Not sure.

0:12:55.280 --> 0:12:58.330 Alexandria Cedergren I think I just wanna address here.

0:12:58.480 --> 0:13:6.440 Alexandria Cedergren He just wants to be reminded the length of the rec contract for nonprofit projects, not the duration of the commitment, but the timeline of rec payments.

0:13:7.800 --> 0:13:9.810 Elizabeth Hittman Yeah, so sorry, I missed that.

0:13:9.820 --> 0:13:15.840 Elizabeth Hittman I think my computer is also being weird with teams, so the rack payment would take place.

0:13:17.910 --> 0:13:21.680 Elizabeth Hittman After the project is installed and Part 2 approved.

0:13:22.310 --> 0:13:40.530

Elizabeth Hittman

Umm the rec adder would be calculated based on when the date of transfer happens, and I think we go into this a little bit on a future slide and this was kind of the tricky thing to figure out and also the important thing to communicate to the approved vendors is that if you.

0:13:41.430 --> 0:14:0.20

Elizabeth Hittman

Umm if that rec adder is calculated into your rec payments and then you don't complete the transfer of ownership, there are like repercussions for that so that you have a grace period of two years in order to complete that transfer of ownership.

0:14:0.120 --> 0:14:6.710 Elizabeth Hittman If that doesn't happen during the two year grace period, the approved vendor loses their collateral.

0:14:6.990 --> 0:14:13.530

Elizabeth Hittman

The project is dropped from the program and they the approved vendor actually has to pay the full cost of the project.

0:14:14.0 --> 0:14:22.550 Elizabeth Hittman So we wanna make sure people are, you know, the approved vendors are really aware of the requirements for this rec adder to be applied.

0:14:23.100 --> 0:14:35.480

Elizabeth Hittman

The Rec contract is still a length of 15 years, so even if ownership transfer takes place like at year two, like I said, they approved, vendor is still responsible for operations and maintenance and Rep delivery for 15 years.

0:14:40.200 --> 0:14:40.840 Elizabeth Hittman Yeah, John.

0:14:40.360 --> 0:14:40.860 Alexandria Cedergren Yeah, Jack.

0:14:41.680 --> 0:14:42.70 John Delurey Yeah.

0:14:42.80 --> 0:14:45.350 John Delurey Sorry, just one quick follow up question that that was super helpful.

0:14:45.360 --> 0:15:17.970

John Delurey

I think it answered most of my question, but I I is is the is the idea that you know a project when they're applying will indicate hey, we commit on your five that will transfer ownership and so essentially they'll get five years at the lower rec price and then ten years at the adder that all gets lumped summed and then delivered as the rec payment or is it you know they hit your five and then there's like a separate check that's cut once they prove that they've transferred ownership.

0:15:18.920 --> 0:15:20.390 Elizabeth Hittman Yeah, that's a great question.

0:15:20.400 --> 0:15:24.10 Elizabeth Hittman Umm, so it is just a normal rec payment like it always has been.

0:15:24.170 --> 0:15:38.190

Elizabeth Hittman Umm, there are, you know, if the transfer of ownership doesn't take place when when the approved vendor said it would, then that's when the collateral gets clawed back and and other steps are taken.

0:15:38.970 --> 0:15:46.500

Elizabeth Hittman

Umm, but when the approved vendors are submitting the project, they actually have to pick the exact date when the transfer of ownership is taking place.

0:15:46.510 --> 0:15:50.260 Elizabeth Hittman And I think for Mark, can probably share some information about this.

0:15:50.370 --> 0:15:53.540 Elizabeth Hittman When the first couple of projects came through, I think they approved.

0:15:53.550 --> 0:16:12.590

Elizabeth Hittman Vendors were kind of like, oh, this day sounds good and put down a day, but we really want them to think through that process, think through how long the construction is going to take the any permit and inspection process and then to work the way they're the project through part two approval on our end.

0:16:13.360 --> 0:16:21.310 Elizabeth Hittman So you know, in some cases the approved vendor had listed a transfer day before their project was even part to approved.

0:16:21.640 --> 0:16:40.120

Elizabeth Hittman

So, you know we've we've kind of worked through the process and had an office hours for approved vendors or remade sure that we emphasize the importance of choosing an appropriate date of transfer there is that you know grace period to complete that.

0:16:40.130 --> 0:16:51.180

Elizabeth Hittman

But yeah, one payment and then if ownership doesn't transfer to the property owner, then collateral and project costs are cloud back.

0:16:53.920 --> 0:16:54.420 Elizabeth Hittman Umm.

0:16:53.770 --> 0:17:5.460

Mark Benson

I think the only thing, yeah, the only thing I would add to that is just that, you know, we'll work with you to help you out with the with figuring out the dates and what what makes sense.

0:17:5.470 --> 0:17:10.780

Mark Benson So and we can make adjustments all the way up until the Part 2 final is coming on.

0:17:10.790 --> 0:17:14.390 Mark Benson So there's an initial estimate made during Part 1.

0:17:15.260 --> 0:17:21.600 Mark Benson Umm, so we make our best guess on that and then Part 2 is when all that would be finalized in terms of the dates.

0:17:25.10 --> 0:17:27.660 Elizabeth Hittman Yep, Mark is the expert on our team now.

0:17:29.290 --> 0:17:29.800 Elizabeth Hittman Umm.

0:17:29.810 --> 0:17:43.240 Elizabeth Hittman And I think I mentioned this earlier, but you know the projects still have all of the consumer protections

in place for all other ILSFA projects, system warranty operations and maintenance is covered by the approved vendor.

0:17:43.530 --> 0:17:47.690 Elizabeth Hittman There were contract still is 15 years, Umm.

0:17:47.850 --> 0:18:2.430 Elizabeth Hittman And then something that we've kind of been working through recently too is just making sure that they approved vendors are aware of what kind of documents they need to upload to prove that ownership transfer has taken place.

0:18:4.960 --> 0:18:8.540 Elizabeth Hittman Unity solar projects for energy sovereignty. Oops.

0:18:8.620 --> 0:18:9.160 Elizabeth Hittman Oh, there you go.

0:18:10.540 --> 0:18:11.140 Elizabeth Hittman Ohm.

0:18:11.520 --> 0:18:18.410

Elizabeth Hittman So like I mentioned earlier, there's two different options for community solar projects for energy sovereignty.

0:18:18.800 --> 0:18:25.50 Elizabeth Hittman One thing to note is there is no rec adder for community solar projects that pursue energy sovereignty.

0:18:25.660 --> 0:18:32.660 Elizabeth Hittman I think in the Umm and CEJA there was mention of a state grant program.

0:18:32.700 --> 0:18:37.500 Elizabeth Hittman That's, I think, still TBD when that's gonna be rolled out.

0:18:37.860 --> 0:18:50.610 Elizabeth Hittman But that grant program would help projects that were community solar projects that were pursuing

energy sovereignty, maybe with some of the legal framework for setting up a coop model and things like that.

0:18:50.660 --> 0:18:52.170 Elizabeth Hittman So there is no rec adder.

0:18:52.180 --> 0:18:57.720

Elizabeth Hittman We have had one community solar project and probably stealing Mark Thunder when he talks to them project.

0:18:57.730 --> 0:19:7.940 Elizabeth Hittman So we have had one community solar energy sovereignty project, which is exciting and in that case it was the first bullet point, the straight up ownership model.

0:19:8.120 --> 0:19:21.470 Elizabeth Hittman

So that would just be like any other project where the participants own it, own their share and then, like I said earlier, the cooperative model, which is a little bit more complicated, but really exciting.

0:19:21.480 --> 0:19:32.50

Elizabeth Hittman

So it would be setting up a Co-op organization that would own the community solar system and could umm, you know, dole out the shares or sell the shares.

0:19:32.540 --> 0:19:42.200

Elizabeth Hittman The community then would have, say, over the ownership and this is kind of what contributes to the wealth building for the Community that Jen mentioned earlier.

0:19:47.110 --> 0:19:48.600 Elizabeth Hittman Ohh and then this one's for Mark.

0:19:51.530 --> 0:19:51.950 Mark Benson All right.

0:19:51.960 --> 0:19:52.170 Mark Benson Yeah.

0:19:52.180 --> 0:19:57.780 Mark Benson So we just wanted to recap how the the program fared during program year five.

0:19:57.950 --> 0:20:5.960

Mark Benson

So we think it went really well considering you know all the changes that were made and trying to get everybody introduced to the program.

0:20:6.390 --> 0:20:11.700 Mark Benson So overall, we had 24 energy, 70 projects that were approved.

0:20:12.290 --> 0:20:19.950

Mark Benson 19 of those were related to nonprofit and public facilities with an incentive value of almost 10 and a half \$1,000,000.

0:20:20.930 --> 0:20:28.920 Mark Benson We had just a small number of residential they were all in the small category that came through.

0:20:28.930 --> 0:20:57.380

Mark Benson

And then, as Elizabeth mentioned in the one community, solar project also took advantage of the program and we're hoping that for again, we were six will be even more successful and you know part of the conversation today was just to get some feedback on how folks thought the program went and program your five and get some feedback for program year six and the coming program years as well.

0:21:1.60 --> 0:21:1.320 Alexandria Cedergren Yeah.

0:21:1.330 --> 0:21:1.880 Alexandria Cedergren Kim, go ahead.

0:21:4.250 --> 0:21:14.880 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" Mark, can you verify if those four small res were all solar or who they were since they're already approved, we can know, right?

0:21:16.490 --> 0:21:17.10 Mark Benson Correct.

0:21:19.880 --> 0:21:22.560 Mark Benson Yes, they were all solar projects.

0:21:23.970 --> 0:21:24.360 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" Thank you.

0:21:26.920 --> 0:21:29.450 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" My understanding is the community solar is trajectory.

0:21:31.830 --> 0:21:32.570 Mark Benson Yep, that's correct.

0:21:34.610 --> 0:21:34.970 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" Thanks.

0:21:41.450 --> 0:21:45.540 Alexandria Cedergren Alright, this is the last slide we had on really the program updates.

0:21:45.550 --> 0:21:49.540 Alexandria Cedergren Does anyone else have any other questions before we move on to the discussion portion?

0:21:53.560 --> 0:21:54.840 Elizabeth Hittman Umm, one thing to add, Ellie.

0:21:54.850 --> 0:22:0.130 Elizabeth Hittman Is that we did add energy sovereignty pie chart to the dashboard.

0:22:0.140 --> 0:22:6.130 Elizabeth Hittman So for those of you that enjoy checking the dashboard as much as we do, it will show up on there.

0:22:6.140 --> 0:22:12.870 Elizabeth Hittman So you could see the splits for energy sovereignty compared to non energy sovereignty for each subprogram.

0:22:19.50 --> 0:22:19.550 Alexandria Cedergren Thank you.

0:22:18.910 --> 0:22:22.600 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" Actually do have another question, if it's OK, I can't remember is the at?

0:22:22.990 --> 0:22:26.690 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" Is there an adder for nonprofit public facility energy sovereignty?

0:22:27.500 --> 0:22:28.360 Elizabeth Hittman Yes. Yeah.

0:22:29.380 --> 0:22:29.600 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" OK.

0:22:35.80 --> 0:22:35.420 Alexandria Cedergren Right.

0:22:37.960 --> 0:22:42.320 Alexandria Cedergren Then we can move on to our discussion questions, so.

0:22:45.750 --> 0:22:53.530 Alexandria Cedergren We have a variety of topics really just to kind of get your thoughts on, you know, different pieces of the program and.

0:22:54.600 --> 0:23:0.630 Alexandria Cedergren Umm, yeah, so we can we have two different slides that just didn't want to have so many questions on one slide.

0:23:0.640 --> 0:23:12.430 Alexandria Cedergren So we can start with these and and if any of these are really speaking to someone that they have thoughts and one of these topics on the slide, you can go ahead and raise your hand or just unmute yourself to to maybe provide your thoughts.

0:23:42.280 --> 0:23:43.350 Alexandria Cedergren Yeah, go ahead, Kim.

0:23:46.840 --> 0:23:48.710 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" I'm regarding the community solar.

0:23:48.720 --> 0:23:51.410 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" I think it would be helpful if someone could explain.

0:23:52.780 --> 0:23:59.50 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" Trajectories model a little bit better and how that qualified as energy sovereignty.

0:24:6.600 --> 0:24:9.970 Elizabeth Hittman Uh, yes, it was the.

0:24:10.20 --> 0:24:13.610 Elizabeth Hittman So the two options for community solar energy sovereignty?

0:24:13.900 --> 0:24:16.160 Elizabeth Hittman They didn't pursue the Co-op model.

0:24:32.80 --> 0:24:32.220 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" Yeah.

0:24:16.170 --> 0:24:34.880 Elizabeth Hittman They did pursue the transfer of ownership, so I would have to dig into the project details, but I believe they were transferring ownership to a nonprofit housing facility that then would have shares for tenants.

0:24:37.130 --> 0:24:39.260 Elizabeth Hittman But I'd have to dig into that project.

0:24:47.250 --> 0:24:52.750 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" I just don't know what to say about financing, but I don't know about the financing, honestly.

0:24:55.50 --> 0:24:56.270 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" I don't understand it at all.

0:24:56.760 --> 0:25:2.340 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" It's beyond my expertise, but I just know, like I know that.

0:25:4.10 --> 0:25:11.550 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" Umm, the coop model has just been something that people get excited about, but no one really knows how to do it.

0:25:12.630 --> 0:25:18.60 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" And so I'm curious about what the programs plans are for helping.

0:25:18.70 --> 0:25:19.690 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" I know there was language around.

0:25:21.750 --> 0:25:22.850 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" Sort of educating on that.

0:25:24.220 --> 0:25:25.800 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" The are there plans around that?

0:25:27.160 --> 0:25:27.810 Elizabeth Hittman Yeah.

0:25:27.820 --> 0:25:31.190 Elizabeth Hittman So I don't know, Jan, if you have more information on that.

0:25:31.620 --> 0:25:49.10

Elizabeth Hittman So in Cja it did mention that a state grant through DCEO for community solar energy sovereignty projects, so that wouldn't be administered by us, but it would provide funding for organizations.

0:25:49.370 --> 0:25:51.930 Elizabeth Hittman You know, there's like legal framework that goes into.

0:25:52.730 --> 0:26:1.60 Elizabeth Hittman Umm ah registering and setting up a coop and for like the shares of the coop and all of that.

0:26:1.570 --> 0:26:8.980 Elizabeth Hittman So that would help funds any sort of like third party assistance so that they would need to make that happen.

0:26:9.710 --> 0:26:15.530 Elizabeth Hittman So that wouldn't be through us, but that is a good question because we haven't seen 1A Coop model yet.

0:26:16.380 --> 0:26:22.410 Elizabeth Hittman Umm, but we are only in our second year, so there's still time and our community solar window just opened yesterday.

0:26:22.420 --> 0:26:24.180 Elizabeth Hittman So we'll see what gets submitted.

0:26:25.260 --> 0:26:27.590 Elizabeth Hittman But then I don't know if you had anything else to add on that.

0:26:30.610 --> 0:26:36.920 Schmidt, Jennifer M.

Yeah, I haven't heard anything about the community service or community solar energy sovereignty grant.

0:26:37.280 --> 0:26:37.860 Schmidt, Jennifer M. Umm.

0:26:38.340 --> 0:26:47.480 Schmidt, Jennifer M. In quite a while I just sent a ping to the colleague to see if there is any updates, but I don't have any myself.

0:26:49.980 --> 0:26:50.170 Elizabeth Hittman OK.

0:26:51.260 --> 0:26:51.860 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" So.

0:26:51.920 --> 0:26:58.560 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" So yeah, we've been talking to CEO for quite a long time about that program and supposedly the NOFO is coming out.

0:27:0.430 --> 0:27:4.480 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" Late fall, but don't hold your breath.

0:27:5.920 --> 0:27:8.930 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" But my question and and I'm sorry I don't remember where I saw this.

0:27:11.270 --> 0:27:12.590 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" Maybe in an earlier plan.

0:27:14.500 --> 0:27:25.640 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" Umm, I thought maybe I'm wrong, that the agency was going to facilitate education around like, cool.

0:27:25.680 --> 0:27:29.910 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" Not, not just sort of leave it to the market, but to facilitate, but maybe I'm wrong.

0:27:29.920 --> 0:27:30.250 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" I don't know.

0:27:31.680 --> 0:27:32.330 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" You don't understand.

0:27:31.750 --> 0:27:38.90 Schmidt, Jennifer M. No, I'm, yeah, we we have a we have said that we would be doing some outreach and.

0:27:39.830 --> 0:28:0.0 Schmidt, Jennifer M. Looking at how we can better support energy sovereignty and there are some commitments from the 2022 plan about exploring a cap on energy sovereignty, community solar subscriptions and also you know, this is part of our.

0:28:2.60 --> 0:28:2.210 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" Yeah.

0:28:2.580 --> 0:28:7.670 Schmidt, Jennifer M. This is the start of how we are trying to get some more information about what is needed out there.

0:28:8.540 --> 0:28:13.760 Schmidt, Jennifer M. So you know this is this is kind of where we're beginning our conversation.

0:28:14.770 --> 0:28:27.720 Schmidt, Jennifer M. Umm, I don't think we have a set plan of exactly what we're going to roll out, but umm, we're trying to begin the exploration of, you know what is needed.

0:28:27.730 --> 0:28:28.600 Schmidt, Jennifer M. What would be helpful?

0:28:28.610 --> 0:28:30.0 Schmidt, Jennifer M. What are you all asking for?

0:28:32.120 --> 0:28:32.270 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" Yeah.

0:28:33.90 --> 0:28:34.20 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" So I don't know anything.

0:28:37.850 --> 0:28:45.230 Elizabeth Hittman

So let's get feedback, though we'll write down more information needed on the cooperative model because, yeah, it is really interesting.

0:28:45.240 --> 0:28:47.670 Elizabeth Hittman And you're right, can people do get excited for it?

0:28:47.680 --> 0:28:56.470 Elizabeth Hittman But maybe having you know some examples of coops, maybe in other states just so folks can kind of wrap their head around it.

0:29:2.510 --> 0:29:3.130 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" You should give them.

0:29:2.540 --> 0:29:3.980 Alexandria Cedergren Go ahead Jan. Ohh.

0:29:3.720 --> 0:29:4.670 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" You should give us homework.

0:29:7.200 --> 0:29:17.530 Alexandria Cedergren I will follow up and with all these questions again after this meeting, because I know this is a lot of information to throw on everyone and it may be hard to really gather your thoughts in this moment.

0:29:17.540 --> 0:29:24.750 Alexandria Cedergren So we will definitely follow up and make sure that we can continue to collaborate on potential resources and homework.

0:29:26.620 --> 0:29:26.880 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" Umm.

0:29:24.760 --> 0:29:29.860 Alexandria Cedergren I guess as you say, Kim Umm, alright John, do you have a question?

0:29:32.810 --> 0:29:37.900 John Delurey Yeah, E yeah, maybe more of a suggestion that I might try to rebrand this question.

0:29:38.850 --> 0:29:44.390 John Delurey Have you all talked with cooperative energy futures at all?

0:29:44.400 --> 0:29:49.440 John Delurey They're out of Minnesota and they've been doing some really exciting energy Co-op work up up there.

0:29:55.850 --> 0:29:58.310 Elizabeth Hittman But if you have info to share, please do.

0:29:58.370 --> 0:29:58.740 Elizabeth Hittman So.

0:29:59.990 --> 0:30:0.420 John Delurey Yeah.

0:30:0.510 --> 0:30:0.700 John Delurey Yeah.

0:30:0.710 --> 0:30:2.410 John Delurey Happy to pass along some contact info.

0:30:2.420 --> 0:30:3.190 John Delurey I know that there were.

0:30:4.80 --> 0:30:6.130 John Delurey They were interested.

0:30:6.180 --> 0:30:12.420 John Delurey I think there working in partnership with some other groups on the Community driven Community solar program.

0:30:13.470 --> 0:30:21.430 John Delurey I think they've looked at solar for all energy sovereignty and I think are like curious about how the numbers might work.

0:30:21.440 --> 0:30:30.490 John Delurey So it's it's just the closest thing that I've seen in the Midwest region to that type of like Community ownership cooperative model.

0:30:30.900 --> 0:30:40.450 John Delurey

There's there are models and other States and other regions and I am aware that I believe inclusive prosperity capital.

0:30:41.600 --> 0:30:41.840 John Delurey Yeah.

0:30:41.850 --> 0:30:59.120 John Delurey Which is a national sort of inclusives with green bank style entity has been coordinating with a few of those entities in pursuit of like finding new and inclusive capital to support that type of energy sovereignty community solar projects.

0:30:59.620 --> 0:31:1.920 John Delurey So they might also be worth contacting.

0:31:4.10 --> 0:31:5.890 John Delurey But those are the only two leads that I have.

0:31:5.900 --> 0:31:10.700 John Delurey There's definitely more interest than available case studies, but those are two that are on my radar.

0:31:14.410 --> 0:31:17.580 Elizabeth Hittman Some things, and do you know if that Workation and Minnesota?

0:31:17.590 --> 0:31:19.160 Elizabeth Hittman Are they a nonprofit?

0:31:21.540 --> 0:31:22.730 Elizabeth Hittman I could I I could look.

0:31:21.480 --> 0:31:22.820 John Delurey They're a cooperative, yeah.

0:31:23.400 --> 0:31:24.490 Elizabeth Hittman Ohh OK got it.

0:31:24.980 --> 0:31:25.140 John Delurey Yeah.

0:31:29.320 --> 0:31:29.630 Alexandria Cedergren Great.

0:31:29.640 --> 0:31:35.950 Alexandria Cedergren Thank you for the suggestions and I think that ties into are the second slide that we had with discussion topics.

0:31:36.840 --> 0:31:44.360 Alexandria Cedergren The first point here is, of course, what resources would be helpful and increasing energy sovereignty projects.

0:31:44.370 --> 0:31:54.140 Alexandria Cedergren So it sounds like the cooperative side for community solar, that's one area we need to maybe delve into some more education and case studies.

0:31:56.510 --> 0:32:0.420 Alexandria Cedergren We have a few other questions here that focus a lot more as well.

0:32:0.430 --> 0:32:1.370 Alexandria Cedergren On the coop model.

0:32:1.830 --> 0:32:2.340 Alexandria Cedergren Umm.

0:32:3.70 --> 0:32:8.340 Alexandria Cedergren And what how we should consider customers that may want to sell their shares in a coop?

0:32:8.350 --> 0:32:8.990 Alexandria Cedergren So I'm not sure if.

0:32:10.990 --> 0:32:12.330 Alexandria Cedergren Anyone has any thoughts on these?

0:32:12.340 --> 0:32:16.880 Alexandria Cedergren I know we just kind of said that we need some more information and maybe some more education around this model, but.

0:32:18.960 --> 0:32:24.720 Alexandria Cedergren These may be a start to to kind of get in the discussion started and that in that aspect and that topic.

0:32:37.900 --> 0:32:43.460 Alexandria Cedergren And so I think we have, Kim, I'm not sure if you still have a a thought or if this is your hand is raised from before.

0:32:45.780 --> 0:32:46.70 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" Thank you.

0:32:46.20 --> 0:32:46.280 Alexandria Cedergren OK.

0:32:47.920 --> 0:32:48.350 Alexandria Cedergren All right.

0:32:48.360 --> 0:32:50.30 Alexandria Cedergren So we'll do John and then Kimberly.

0:32:55.640 --> 0:32:58.910 John Delurey Yeah, I think 1 there's this.

0:32:58.920 --> 0:33:26.210 John Delurey

There's this really fine balance, and I don't envy the position that you all are in and trying to strike it and between, like ensuring that companies and interested parties aren't abusing the program, right, like some of the language that you just shared around penalties for not transferring ownership and things like that, like those are necessary.

0:33:26.740 --> 0:33:47.300

John Delurey

But I think it can also dissuade potential interest and actors and exploring this program, like if they know that they're, there's a risk that they will be entirely on the line for repaying the intern project like it, it just will immediately close the door for some of those entities exploring this model.

0:33:47.570 --> 0:34:19.10

John Delurey

And it sounds like there has been an initial interest, but I I just know that like and maybe some of them are on this call, but having that conversation with the approved vendor community to better understand like what are some things that are just causing them to to not even look at this and what are some tools

that are in the spirit of protecting program funds, but that might in practice and like stop anybody from from going down this road.

0:34:19.540 --> 0:34:38.460

John Delurey

So I have like no red flags or anything, but just flagging that balance again, which I'm sure is front and center and everyone's mind, but I think approved vendors in particular will be able to weigh in and ultimately because this program relies on approved vendors participating like that, conversation is mission critical.

0:34:44.750 --> 0:34:45.440 Alexandria Cedergren Thank you.

0:34:45.490 --> 0:34:51.80 Alexandria Cedergren Yes, I think that's great feedback and something we can definitely take back to at the approved vendors.

0:34:51.90 --> 0:35:7.850

Alexandria Cedergren

I'm not sure what, if any of that was discussed in the previous, Umm, approved vendor office hours, but maybe we go back to them and and try to survey them a little bit more on how they can participate in what they would need in order to participate.

0:35:11.720 --> 0:35:12.400 Alexandria Cedergren Kimberly.

0:35:11.780 --> 0:35:12.570 Elizabeth Hittman Dinner time.

0:35:12.820 --> 0:35:13.130 Elizabeth Hittman I'm sorry.

0:35:12.460 --> 0:35:14.150 Alexandria Cedergren Oh, do you have a sorry.

0:35:14.520 --> 0:35:15.80 Alexandria Cedergren Do you have a?

0:35:14.650 --> 0:35:17.640 Elizabeth Hittman I was just going to say, yeah, that was a good comment, John.

0:35:17.650 --> 0:35:22.780 Elizabeth Hittman We did have an approved vendor who is not currently doing energy sovereignty projects.

0:35:22.970 --> 0:35:27.530 Elizabeth Hittman Mention that they work mostly in the small residential subprogram.

0:35:28.300 --> 0:35:28.880 Elizabeth Hittman Umm.

0:35:28.960 --> 0:35:42.200 Elizabeth Hittman And just the potential risk for approved vendors if they submit an energy sovereignty project and it gets ICC approved and then the customer changes their mind.

0:35:44.260 --> 0:35:46.200 Elizabeth Hittman I don't you know that hasn't.

0:35:46.400 --> 0:36:2.390

Elizabeth Hittman

That hasn't happened like a ton, maybe like once or twice, or a customer has changed their mind after a contract, but it was kind of in line with what you were saying as well and maybe getting more feedback from umm, small residential approved vendors to see what their thoughts are.

0:36:7.40 --> 0:36:7.720 Alexandria Cedergren Thank you, Elizabeth.

0:36:9.780 --> 0:36:10.240 Alexandria Cedergren All right.

0:36:10.680 --> 0:36:12.870 Alexandria Cedergren And Kimberly Shields.

0:36:15.80 --> 0:36:16.80 Shields, Kimberly Yeah.

0:36:16.300 --> 0:36:23.150 Shields, Kimberly I just have a quick question kind of tangentially related to your last bullet here about how to protect the customer.

0:36:23.300 --> 0:36:52.420

Shields, Kimberly

And I'm wondering what ongoing maintenance kind of looks like in these ownership transfer models and I don't know if you've had any projects be in operation long enough to see, but UM, are the types of customers you know, maybe it's like a a low income serving nonprofit organization or a group that doesn't have staff or capacity or you know, reserves of funding available for maintenance issues over time?

0:36:52.430 --> 0:36:52.840 Shields, Kimberly And what?

0:36:52.890 --> 0:36:55.630 Shields, Kimberly How they're protected with warranties or anything like that?

0:37:4.10 --> 0:37:5.0 Mark Benson Yeah, sure.

0:37:2.880 --> 0:37:5.110 Elizabeth Hittman Mark, do you want to take this one, OK.

0:37:5.10 --> 0:37:18.360

Mark Benson Yeah, I mean, so the the basic protection is that the vendors are required to support for operation and maintenance throughout the 15 year term of the of the REC contract.

0:37:18.370 --> 0:37:34.280

Mark Benson

So regardless of when the transfer occurs, as long as before year seven, then the the AV is obligated to support the system through the the 15 years of the contract.

0:37:34.290 --> 0:37:43.880

Mark Benson

So that was specifically put in because of the concerns over consumer protections and and making sure that gets addressed.

0:37:46.440 --> 0:37:46.830 Shields, Kimberly OK.

0:37:46.840 --> 0:37:47.210 Shields, Kimberly Thanks.

0:37:47.220 --> 0:38:13.430 Shields, Kimberly

And just one quick follow up, I guess, sorry if it was mentioned, but how did you all decide on the seven year timeline and if you get to that point and maybe the entity, something changed about their financial situation or they're not prepared to take ownership, can they and I don't know sort of submit for an exception or get some sort of extension and assuming they're still interested, but maybe it's not the right time.

0:38:16.620 --> 0:38:17.830 Mark Benson That that I'm not aware of.

0:38:17.840 --> 0:38:23.440 Mark Benson I don't know if janitor anybody from IPA might have some background on that.

0:38:24.560 --> 0:38:25.30 Schmidt, Jennifer M. Yeah.

0:38:25.220 --> 0:38:26.110 Schmidt, Jennifer M. Kim, have you?

0:38:26.580 --> 0:38:27.990 Schmidt, Jennifer M. We can connect offline.

0:38:28.240 --> 0:38:34.570 Schmidt, Jennifer M. There's we did a whole white paper about energy sovereignty that might have some information that you're looking for.

0:38:34.580 --> 0:38:37.360 Schmidt, Jennifer M. And then there's also the details of.

0:38:39.80 --> 0:38:51.20 Schmidt, Jennifer M. How we got to that seven year, I think it had to do with umm, when the tax credits would be beneficial to the developer.

0:38:51.690 --> 0:38:52.540 Schmidt, Jennifer M. But we can.

0:38:52.610 --> 0:38:56.70 Schmidt, Jennifer M. I can connect with you offline and get you the background information for that.

0:38:58.460 --> 0:38:59.140 Shields, Kimberly Cool. Thanks.

0:39:2.130 --> 0:39:8.880 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" Yeah, Kimberly, it has to do with how long the owner has to hold on to it in order to gain the the federal tax benefit.

0:39:10.10 --> 0:39:12.430 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" That's why this seven years, that's the simple version.

0:39:16.150 --> 0:39:16.530 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" Umm.

0:39:18.110 --> 0:39:21.90 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" Ohh and may end up, but you didn't call me.

0:39:20.740 --> 0:39:21.190 Alexandria Cedergren Yeah.

0:39:21.580 --> 0:39:22.370 Alexandria Cedergren No, go ahead.

0:39:22.380 --> 0:39:22.890 Alexandria Cedergren Go ahead, Kim.

0:39:24.820 --> 0:39:26.150 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" So couple things.

0:39:26.160 --> 0:39:27.720 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" One I don't understand.

0:39:29.910 --> 0:39:33.140 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" I'm failing to see predatory like what's the problem?

0:39:33.730 --> 0:39:34.520 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" What are we worried about?

0:39:41.160 --> 0:39:44.120 Schmidt, Jennifer M. So what is the?

0:39:44.240 --> 0:39:46.690 Schmidt, Jennifer M. I think there are a number of ways that they.

0:39:48.830 --> 0:39:56.690 Schmidt, Jennifer M. Consumer may be taken advantage of, and if they if the consumer is responsible for the sale of their share.

0:39:58.690 --> 0:40:2.440 Schmidt, Jennifer M. What is the value of that subscription?

0:40:2.520 --> 0:40:6.390 Schmidt, Jennifer M. And that they would be selling it to somebody else.

0:40:7.380 --> 0:40:10.270 Schmidt, Jennifer M. Is there a umm.

0:40:12.540 --> 0:40:23.130 Schmidt, Jennifer M. Is would anybody be taking advantage of the household and the household selling their share for something for an amount that's not of correct value?

0:40:23.340 --> 0:40:28.610 Schmidt, Jennifer M. I think we're just really concerned about making sure that the customer isn't being taken advantage of.

0:40:29.100 --> 0:40:31.810 Schmidt, Jennifer M. How is the transaction actually happening?

0:40:32.60 --> 0:40:49.940 Schmidt, Jennifer M.

To compensate the subscriber owner, if they're selling, so I I think we're just trying to figure out what protections need to be in place and what sort of system and structure needs to be in place to facilitate the safe.

0:40:51.990 --> 0:41:25.270 Schmidt, Jennifer M.

Transfer of ownership of subscribers in one of these projects and then also considering you know who is the is the subscription going to is this you know taking somebody and getting them into the Illinois solar for all program when there are other folks that it would be waiting for it because maybe the owner of

the share knows somebody so you know we're just trying to think through the various ways of making sure that the process is safe for the consumer and is fair.

0:41:27.90 --> 0:41:27.340 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" Umm.

0:41:30.750 --> 0:41:31.220 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" Yeah.

0:41:31.270 --> 0:41:36.780 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" I think also just understanding that Coop and share model will help inform all of this.

0:41:38.640 --> 0:41:39.660 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" You know, understanding it better.

0:41:40.760 --> 0:41:44.450 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" So I have a hard time like thinking about it without understanding it better.

0:41:50.0 --> 0:41:50.930 Alexandria Cedergren Yeah, absolutely.

0:41:50.940 --> 0:41:54.570 Alexandria Cedergren I think that's great feedback and something that will definitely follow up on.

0:41:54.690 --> 0:41:57.490 Alexandria Cedergren I'm trying to find more resources and education around the model.

0:42:15.840 --> 0:42:16.40 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" Umm.

0:42:0.650 --> 0:42:19.840 Elizabeth Hittman

Allie, one other thing to add and I don't know if this constitutes predatory behavior, but I think this was something that the IPA was working on as well as just outlining what documentation like showing the proof of transfer of ownership, what kind of documents the approved vendor needs to upload.

0:42:19.850 --> 0:42:31.10

Elizabeth Hittman

So we we didn't want approved vendor saying it was an energy sovereignty project that the homeowner would own this outright and then not and they would get the rec incentive and then that didn't happen.

0:42:31.400 --> 0:42:41.370 Elizabeth Hittman Umm, so that's kind of, I guess maybe ties into more consumer protections, but also protecting umm, you know, making sure that the homeowner does get that owner the benefits of ownership.

0:42:45.670 --> 0:42:47.910 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" So I have a comment when here.

0:42:51.380 --> 0:42:53.150 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" Raise my hand, yeah.

0:42:53.650 --> 0:42:54.630 Alexandria Cedergren Go go ahead, Kim.

0:42:55.860 --> 0:43:7.200 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" It's just so I'm not having trouble seeing the benefit of energy sovereignty for small res in the environment we're in now.

0:43:8.510 --> 0:43:15.400 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" Now, unfortunately, I learned recently that Sunrun is not coming back to solar.

0:43:15.410 --> 0:43:17.600 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" For all any time soon, I think.

0:43:18.20 --> 0:43:19.340 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" But let's pretend they are.

0:43:19.600 --> 0:43:23.430 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" So Sunrun has a 25 year contract and no cost.

0:43:25.230 --> 0:43:28.160 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" And so, like, why would we?

0:43:30.860 --> 0:43:41.70 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" Why would we pay them more to transfer ownership when, like the homeowner, if the homeowner can get it at no cost for 25 years?

0:43:42.560 --> 0:43:45.890 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" Umm, why would we pay more to Sunrun?

0:43:45.900 --> 0:43:47.420 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" To give them ownership at year 7.

0:43:49.840 --> 0:43:50.400 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" You know, I'm saying.

0:43:55.230 --> 0:43:56.760 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" Something to think about anyway cause.

0:43:56.820 --> 0:43:58.20 Schmidt, Jennifer M. I mean, that's a good question.

0:43:59.960 --> 0:44:14.860 Schmidt, Jennifer M. That is something that we can consider when we also have the requirement in CEJA to, UMM, reserve a portion of each sub program for energy sovereignty.

0:44:17.550 --> 0:44:21.860 Schmidt, Jennifer M. So it is something that we are required to implement in all four sub programs.

0:44:22.850 --> 0:44:24.670 Schmidt, Jennifer M. Umm, regardless.

0:44:27.230 --> 0:44:27.410 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" Yeah.

0:44:29.750 --> 0:44:34.800 Schmidt, Jennifer M. But we do want to make it as umm it as good an option as we can.

0:44:43.790 --> 0:44:44.60 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" Yeah.

0:44:44.70 --> 0:44:50.400 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" And then it like to add to that and some and you know some of us on this call are responsible for writing this language.

0:44:51.520 --> 0:44:54.290 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" So but like what was I gonna say?

0:44:54.710 --> 0:44:58.140 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" Ohh like similarly with the nonprofit public facility.

0:44:58.150 --> 0:45:7.0 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" Some of those were already happening before we created energy sovereignty, so it's a similar question like why are we giving them more?

0:45:8.630 --> 0:45:10.890 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" Money to do something that was already happening, but anyway.

0:45:13.820 --> 0:45:15.90 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" So discussion group, right?

0:45:18.110 --> 0:45:18.620 Alexandria Cedergren Yes.

0:45:18.700 --> 0:45:20.550 Alexandria Cedergren Thank you for bringing that up.

0:45:20.960 --> 0:45:26.670 Alexandria Cedergren John, do you have a a response or or another comment?

0:45:28.140 --> 0:45:29.830 John Delurey Yeah, response.

0:45:30.40 --> 0:45:44.910

John Delurey

I I don't know the language in front of me, but I I know we sort of covered it at the top of this call, but I think it does give the IPA and elevate a fair amount of flexibility about how to prioritize energy sovereignty.

0:45:45.500 --> 0:46:12.100

John Delurey

And so some of this might be determined through the long term procurement plan, which is sort of on its way through right now, but it's worth weaving in some of the things that we're learning from implementation to perhaps adjust up or down the degree of prioritization, uh, because I right it says reserve a portion, but it doesn't specify how big that portion is.

0:46:12.110 --> 0:46:31.860

John Delurey

I think that was all determined by the IPA through the the long term plan, and so to Kim's point, if there isn't additional tangible benefit to either nonprofit recipients or to residential recipients, then I think that portion could probably be, you know, reduced accordingly.

0:46:32.290 --> 0:46:34.220 John Delurey So I know we've got mixed company on the call.

0:46:34.230 --> 0:46:35.980 John Delurey Some people might be interveners in the plan.

0:46:35.990 --> 0:46:50.670

John Delurey

Some people might have helped write the plan and we can't really dig into that here and now, but just wanted to highlight that wiggle room that the regulatory process allows to make sure that we're maximizing the benefit and sort of the spirit of these sections.

0:47:2.290 --> 0:47:3.620 Alexandria Cedergren At thank you.

0:47:6.850 --> 0:47:9.740 Alexandria Cedergren Any other bots?

0:47:10.940 --> 0:47:11.710 Alexandria Cedergren Questions.

0:47:11.720 --> 0:47:14.760 Alexandria Cedergren Comments about energy sovereignty.

0:47:17.80 --> 0:47:18.70 John Delurey Actually, sorry I did.

0:47:18.180 --> 0:47:27.960 John Delurey I meant to end that with a question which I I just don't have visibility into which is is that the the carve out or the prioritization right?

0:47:27.970 --> 0:47:37.380 John Delurey Like was that portion determined through the long term plan or through the vendor manual meeting was at an IPA decision or was that sort of an elevate decision?

0:47:38.940 --> 0:47:39.660 John Delurey I just can't remember.

0:47:39.70 --> 0:47:49.200 Schmidt, Jennifer M. If I were, if I recall correctly, it was included in the the what in the plan 2022 plan.

0:47:57.80 --> 0:47:57.450 John Delurey OK.

0:47:57.520 --> 0:47:57.870 John Delurey Thanks.

0:47:57.880 --> 0:47:58.220 John Delurey That.

0:47:58.300 --> 0:47:59.300 John Delurey That's my recollection too.

0:47:59.340 --> 0:48:1.490 John Delurey Just wanted to to double check.

0:48:8.280 --> 0:48:8.580 Alexandria Cedergren Right.

0:48:13.680 --> 0:48:14.600 Alexandria Cedergren Any other thoughts?

0:48:16.520 --> 0:48:28.590 Alexandria Cedergren We still have a few minutes left in the call and other questions or requests that we kind of that I kind of follow up with you all on in terms of resources or just something to take back to the team.

0:48:31.420 --> 0:48:33.670 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" Well, so this question that's on the top.

0:48:33.680 --> 0:48:34.140 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" Oh, sorry.

0:48:35.20 --> 0:48:35.910 Alexandria Cedergren Go ahead. Go ahead.

0:48:35.920 --> 0:48:36.850 Alexandria Cedergren No, go ahead, Kim.

0:48:36.900 --> 0:48:37.780 Alexandria Cedergren You can just jump in.

0:48:37.800 --> 0:48:38.990 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" My bad.

0:48:39.620 --> 0:48:40.220 Alexandria Cedergren No, OK.

0:48:40.850 --> 0:48:43.230 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" Anyway, this first question benefits of ownership.

0:48:43.600 --> 0:48:51.510 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" It's it's the way that John just said it on the follow up to my point is, is.

0:48:55.110 --> 0:49:3.430 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" Is showing, you know, showing an additional tangible benefit beyond what the owner would get without the transfer of ownership.

0:49:6.770 --> 0:49:12.340 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" I know that's not what this question is getting at, but it's it's still a good answer to that question, right?

0:49:18.170 --> 0:49:21.250 Alexandria Cedergren That additional how did you word it?

0:49:21.260 --> 0:49:24.270 Alexandria Cedergren Additional tangible benefits are a benefit of ownership.

0:49:26.0 --> 0:49:26.240 Alexandria Cedergren Right.

0:49:26.60 --> 0:49:35.520 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" That that right, that the that the energy sovereignty project will deliver additional tangible benefits that the owner would not.

0:49:44.690 --> 0:49:45.50 Alexandria Cedergren That.

0:49:37.410 --> 0:49:45.670 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" You know that the the transferee would not have gained if not for energy sovereignty if not for that transfer ownership.

0:49:45.740 --> 0:49:51.940 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" You know, like if you have this project for 25 years and it's no cost, what addition are you going to get?

0:49:52.770 --> 0:49:55.370 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" It seems like they only the AV wins there.

0:49:57.220 --> 0:49:58.210 Alexandria Cedergren OK, understood.

0:49:58.220 --> 0:50:15.840 Alexandria Cedergren So thinking about different ways that the all parties are kind of benefiting depending on the, I guess the the offer and and the yeah at the offer involved in the different energy sovereignty projects, I guess is kind of a way to put it.

0:50:19.530 --> 0:50:20.80 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" Yeah.

0:50:20.90 --> 0:50:27.920 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" Yeah, I just was going to say the we're spending additional program resources to supposedly deliver an additional benefit or what is it?

0:50:28.310 --> 0:50:29.360 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" What is it you know?

0:50:33.890 --> 0:50:34.560 Alexandria Cedergren Food for thought.

0:50:37.680 --> 0:50:38.170 Alexandria Cedergren All right.

0:50:39.170 --> 0:50:41.430 Alexandria Cedergren Any other additional questions, comments.

0:50:44.130 --> 0:50:44.620 Alexandria Cedergren Requests.

0:50:47.920 --> 0:50:48.650 Alexandria Cedergren OK.

0:50:48.940 --> 0:50:51.70 Alexandria Cedergren Well, I appreciate everyone's time today.

0:50:51.80 --> 0:50:55.800 Alexandria Cedergren I will be sure to follow up with our committee with the link to the recording.

0:50:58.750 --> 0:51:10.860

Alexandria Cedergren And the slides and these discussion topics specifically so that if you all just you have those for reference and if anything comes up outside of the meeting that you need that you would like to share with us, please do.

0:51:11.650 --> 0:51:12.940 Alexandria Cedergren Kim, do you have another?

0:51:12.950 --> 0:51:14.380 Alexandria Cedergren I see your hands still raised.

0:51:14.430 --> 0:51:15.980 Alexandria Cedergren I don't know if you put it down in.

0:51:15.520 --> 0:51:19.720 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" I just wondered if we could get the questions like this like a week in advance.

0:51:21.80 --> 0:51:22.670 Alexandria Cedergren Yes, that is my apology.

0:51:22.680 --> 0:51:25.910 Alexandria Cedergren I it was.

0:51:26.310 --> 0:51:26.810 Alexandria Cedergren I just.

0:51:26.820 --> 0:51:41.30

Alexandria Cedergren

I didn't have them prepared enough in advance for you all, so that is my mistake and I apologize and I absolutely umm, we'll try to make sure that I have given you all enough time to really Mull over our questions in the future.

0:51:44.440 --> 0:51:51.760

Alexandria Cedergren

But we're always, you know, open for feedback and if anything again comes up that you've thought of after this meeting, please email me.

0:51:51.770 --> 0:52:2.110

Alexandria Cedergren

Let me know and I can make sure that we I sent it to the team and include it in kind of the umm, just this, this meeting for us to continue the conversation.

0:52:5.360 --> 0:52:5.820 "Kim Knowles (Guest)" Thank you.

0:52:5.790 --> 0:52:7.690 Alexandria Cedergren Right, alright.

0:52:7.700 --> 0:52:8.910 Alexandria Cedergren Thank you everyone.

0:52:8.920 --> 0:52:9.900 Alexandria Cedergren Have a great rest of your day.

0:52:9.790 --> 0:52:10.50 Vee Likes Thanks.

0:52:10.790 --> 0:52:11.680 Vee Likes Thanks much.

0:52:11.700 --> 0:52:12.190 Mark Benson Yeah. Thanks.

0:52:12.170 --> 0:52:13.60 Schmidt, Jennifer M. Thanks everyone.

0:52:13.400 --> 0:52:13.680 Elizabeth Hittman Thanks.

0:52:13.640 --> 0:52:14.210 John Delurey Thanks all.

0:52:11.690 --> 0:52:14.740 Vee Likes Learning lots, they don't.

0:52:14.750 --> 0:52:15.130 Ramirez, Abigail Thank you.

0:52:13.580 --> 0:52:15.400 Schmidt, Jennifer M. Appreciate your time. Bye.

0:52:14.520 --> 0:52:15.510 Elizabeth Hittman Things early bye.

0:52:16.160 --> 0:52:16.560 Marisol Luna No.